

A q -ANALOG OF THE EXPONENTIAL FORMULA*

Ira M. GESSEL

Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Received 1 May 1980

Revised 23 April 1981

A q -analog of functional composition for Eulerian generating functions is introduced and applied to the enumeration of permutations by inversions and distribution of left-right maxima.

1. Introduction

If $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x^n/n!)$ is the exponential generating function for a class of 'labeled objects', then

$$g(x) = e^{f(x)}$$

will be (under appropriate conditions) the exponential generating function for sets of these objects. For example, if $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1)! x^n/n!$ is the exponential generating function for cyclic permutations, then $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! x^n/n!$ is the exponential generating function for all permutations; if $f(x)$ is the exponential generating function for connected labeled graphs, then

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{\binom{n}{2}} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

is the exponential generating function for all labeled graphs. For various approaches to the exponential formula, see [3, 4, 10, 11].

It is well known that many properties of exponential generating functions have analogs for *Eulerian generating functions* of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \frac{x^n}{n!_q}$$

where $n!_q = 1 \cdot (1+q) \cdots (1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1})$, and f_n is a polynomial in q . Note that $n!_q$ reduces to $n!$ for $q=1$. Eulerian generating functions arise in several combinatorial applications, such as finite vector spaces [6] and partitions [1], but here we shall be concerned primarily with their use in counting permutations by inversions. (See [5, 9].)

*Research partially supported by ONR Contract #N00014-76-C-0366

We introduce a q -analog of functional composition and show that q -exponentiation can be used to count permutations by inversions and 'basic components', which are related to left-right maxima. Combinatorial interpretations are obtained for Gould's q -Stirling numbers of the first kind [7] and the 'continuous q -Hermite polynomials' study by Askey and Ismail [2] and others. Finally, we count involutions by inversions, using a new property of a correspondence of Foata [4].

2. Notation

We define $(a; q)_n$ to be $\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^i)$, with $(a; q)_0 = 1$. We often write $(a)_n$ for $(a; q)_n$. Thus

$$(q)_n = (1-q)(1-q^2) \cdots (1-q^n) = (1-q)^n n!_q \quad \text{and} \quad (a)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^i).$$

The q -binomial coefficient, which is a polynomial in q , is defined by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{n!_q}{k!_q (n-k)!_q} = \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_k (q)_{n-k}}.$$

We write n_q for $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$ and \mathbf{n} for the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. All power series may be considered as formal, so that questions of convergence do not arise.

3. A q -analog of functional composition

The q -analog \mathcal{D} of the derivative is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{(1-q)x}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{D}1 = 0$ and for $n > 0$,

$$\mathcal{D} \frac{x^n}{n!_q} = \frac{x^{n-1}}{(n-1)!_q}.$$

(Note that for $q = 1$, \mathcal{D} reduces to the ordinary derivative.) We shall often write f' for $\mathcal{D}f$.

We now define a q -analog of the map $f \mapsto f^k/k!$ for exponential generating functions.

Definition 3.1. Suppose that $f(0) = 0$. Then for $k \geq 0$, $f^{[k]}$ is defined by $f^{[0]} = 1$ and for $k > 0$,

$$\mathcal{D}f^{[k]} = f' \cdot f^{[k-1]}, \quad \text{with } f^{[k]}(0) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Formula (3.1) is equivalent to the following recursion: let

$$f^{[k]}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n,k} \frac{x^n}{n!_q}.$$

Then

$$f_{n+1,k} = \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} f_{n-j+1,1} f_{j,k-1}.$$

It is clear that $f_{n,k} = 0$ for $n < k$.

As an example, take $f(x) = x^m/m!_q$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{x^m}{m!_q} \right)^{[k]} &= \begin{bmatrix} mk-1 \\ m-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m(k-1)-1 \\ m-1 \end{bmatrix} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} m-1 \\ m-1 \end{bmatrix} \frac{x^{mk}}{(mk)!_q} \\ &= \frac{(mk)!_q}{(m!_q)^k \cdot 1 \cdot (1+q^m) \cdots (1+q^m + q^{2m} + \cdots + q^{(k-1)m})} \frac{x^{mk}}{(mk)!_q} \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $m=1$ we have $x^{[k]} = x^k/k!_q$, and for $q=1$, $(x^m/m!_q)^{[k]}$ reduces to

$$\frac{(mk)!}{m!^k k! (mk)!} x^{mk}.$$

Definition 3.2. Suppose that $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(x^n/n!_q)$ and $f(0) = 0$. Then the q -composition $g[f]$ is defined to be

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n f^{[n]}.$$

Note that $g[x] = g(x)$. The following is straightforward.

Proposition 3.3 (The chain rule). $\mathcal{D}g[f] = g'[f]f'$.

Unfortunately q -composition is neither associative nor distributive over multiplication, i.e., in general $(fg)[h] \neq f[h] \cdot g[h]$.

Now let $e(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n/n!_q$ be the q -analog of the exponential function. Since $e'(x) = e(x)$, we have $\mathcal{D}e[f] = e[f]f'$. Equating coefficients gives a recurrence for the coefficients of $e[f]$ in terms of the coefficients of f :

Proposition 3.4. Let $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x^n/n!_q)$ and let $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(x^n/n!_q) = e[f]$. Then $g_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 0$,

$$g_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} g_{n-k} f_{k+1}.$$

We can also express $e[f]$ as an infinite product:

Proposition 3.5. Suppose $f(0) = 0$. Then

$$e[f] = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 - (1-q)q^k x f'(q^k x)]^{-1}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Let $g = e[f]$. Then $g'(x) = f'(x)g(x)$, so

$$\frac{g(x) - g(qx)}{(1-q)x} = f'(x)g(x)$$

and thus

$$g(x) = [1 - (1-q)xf'(x)]^{-1}g(qx). \quad (3.3)$$

Iterating (3.3) yields (3.2). \square

For $f(x) = x$, Proposition 3.5 yields the well-known infinite product

$$e(x) = e[x] = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 - (1-q)q^k x]^{-1}.$$

Since $e[tf(x)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n f^{[n]}$, we have an alternative characterization of $f^{[n]}$ as the coefficient of t^n in

$$\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 - (1-q)q^k x f'(q^k x) t]^{-1}.$$

4. Permutations

By a *permutation* of a set A of positive integers we mean a linear arrangement $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ of the elements of A . The *length* of $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is n . A permutation is *basic* if it begins with its greatest element. (By convention the 'empty permutation' of length zero is not basic.) We denote by S_n and B_n the sets of all permutations and of basic permutations of n . (Thus $|S_n| = n!$ for all n and $|B_n| = (n-1)!$ for $n \geq 1$, with $|B_0| = 0$.) A *left-right maximum* of a permutation $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is an a_i such that $i < j$ implies $a_i < a_j$. For any nonempty permutation σ we write $L(\sigma)$ for the first element of σ . The following is straightforward.

Lemma 4.1. Suppose the permutation $\pi = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ has the factorization $\pi = \beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_k$, where the β_i are nonempty permutations. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) Each β_i is basic and $L(\beta_1) < L(\beta_2) < \cdots < L(\beta_k)$.
- (ii) $a_i = L(\beta_s)$ for some s if and only if a_i is a left-right maximum.

It follows from the lemma that every permutation π has a unique factorization $\beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_k$ satisfying (i) which we call the *basic decomposition* of π , and we call the β_i the *basic components* of π . We note that any set $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k\}$ of basic permutations with no elements in common can be ordered in exactly one way to form the basic decomposition of some permutation. Thus we have a bijection between permutations and sets of disjoint basic permutations.

We call a permutation *reduced* if it is in S_n for some $n \geq 0$. To any permutation $\pi = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ we may associate a reduced permutation, $\text{red}(\pi)$, by replacing in π , for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the i th smallest element of $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ by i . Thus $\text{red}(7926) = 3412$. The *content* of the permutation $\pi = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is $\text{con}(\pi) = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$. We note that a permutation is determined by its reduction and its content.

A function ω defined on permutations (with values in some commutative algebra over the rationals) is *multiplicative* if for all permutations π :

$$(i) \quad \omega(\pi) = \omega(\text{red}(\pi)).$$

(ii) If $\beta_1 \beta_2 \cdots \beta_k$ is the basic decomposition of π , then

$$\omega(\pi) = \omega(\beta_1) \omega(\beta_2) \cdots \omega(\beta_k).$$

Thus a multiplicative function is determined by its values on reduced basic permutations, and these may be chosen arbitrarily. (We note that (ii) implies $\omega(\emptyset) = 1$.)

5. Inversions of permutations

If V is a subset of \mathbf{n} we denote by $I_n(V)$ the number of pairs (v, w) with $v \in V$, $w \in \mathbf{n} - V$, and $v > w$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let*

$$Q(n, k) = \sum_V q^{I_n(V)}$$

where the sum is over all $V \subseteq \mathbf{n}$ with $|V| = n - k$. Then $Q(n, k) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$.

Proof. It is clear that $Q(n, n) = Q(n, 0) = 1$ for all $n \geq 0$. Then by considering the two cases $n \in V$ and $n \notin V$ we find the recurrence

$$Q(n, k) = q^k Q(n-1, k) + Q(n-1, k-1),$$

for $0 < k < n$. Since $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ satisfies the same recurrence and boundary conditions, $Q(n, k) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$. \square

An *inversion* of the permutation $\pi = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$ is a pair (i, j) with $i < j$ and $a_i > a_j$. We write $I(\pi)$ for the number of inversions of π . Note that $I(\pi) = I(\text{red}(\pi))$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let ω be a multiplicative function on permutations. Let $g_n = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \omega(\pi) q^{I(\pi)}$ and let $f_n = \sum_{\beta \in B_n} \omega(\beta) q^{I(\beta)}$. Then*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n \frac{x^n}{n!_q} = e \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \frac{x^n}{n!_q} \right].$$

Proof. In view of Proposition 3.4, we need only prove

$$g_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} g_{n-k} f_{k+1}. \quad (5.1)$$

We shall prove (5.1) by showing that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} g_{n-k} f_{k+1}$ counts those permutations counted by g_{n+1} whose last basic component has length $k+1$. Such a permutation may be factored as $\pi = \sigma\beta$ where σ is of length $n-k$, β is of length $k+1$, and the disjoint union of $\text{con}(\sigma)$ and $\text{con}(\beta)$ is $n+1$. The condition that β is the last basic component of π is equivalent to the condition that β is basic and $\text{con}(\beta)$ contains $n+1$. Thus to determine π we choose $V = \text{con}(\sigma)$ as an arbitrary $(n-k)$ -subset of n and choose $\text{red}(\sigma) \in S_{n-k}$ and $\text{red}(\beta) \in B_{k+1}$. It is easily seen that $I(\pi) = I(\sigma) + I(\beta) + I_n(V)$. Thus the contribution to g_{n+1} of these π is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_V \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-k}} \sum_{\beta \in B_{k+1}} \omega(\sigma) \omega(\beta) q^{I(\sigma) + I(\beta) + I_n(V)} \\ &= \left[\sum_V q^{I_n(V)} \right] \left[\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-k}} \omega(\sigma) q^{I(\sigma)} \right] \left[\sum_{\beta \in B_{k+1}} \omega(\beta) q^{I(\beta)} \right] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} g_{n-k} f_{k+1}, \quad \text{by Lemma 5.1.} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.3. Let t_1, t_2, \dots be arbitrary, and set $T(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{n+1} x^n$. Define the multiplicative function ω by

$$\omega(\pi) = t_1^{b_1} t_2^{b_2} \cdots$$

where π has b_i basic components of length i . Let

$$g_n = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \omega(\pi) q^{I(\pi)}$$

and let

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n \frac{x^n}{n!_q}.$$

Then

$$g(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 - (1-q)q^k x T(q^{k+1}x)]^{-1}. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Let $f_n = \sum_{\beta \in B_n} \omega(\beta) q^{I(\beta)} = t_n \sum_{\beta \in B_n} q^{I(\beta)}$. Every β in B_n is obtained by inserting n at the beginning of an element of S_{n-1} ; thus,

$$\sum_{\beta \in B_n} q^{I(\beta)} = q^{n-1} \sum_{\pi \in S_{n-1}} q^{I(\pi)} = q^{n-1} (n-1)!_q,$$

by a well-known result of Rodrigues [8], easily proved by induction. Thus,

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \frac{x^n}{n!_q} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n q^{n-1} (n-1)!_q \frac{x^n}{n!_q},$$

so

$$f'(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{n+1} q^n x^n = T(qx).$$

Then (5.2) follows from Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 3.5. \square

6. Examples

We first look at two trivial cases of Theorem 5.2. If we take $t_i = 1$ for all i , then $T(x) = (1-x)^{-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 - (1-q)q^k x (1-q^{k+1}x)^{-1}]^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{k+1}x}{1 - q^k x} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n!_q \frac{x^n}{n!_q}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take $t_1 = 1$ and $t_i = 0$ for $i > 1$, then $T(x) = 1$ and

$$g(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 - (1-q)q^k x]^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!_q}.$$

A more interesting example is that in which $t_i = t$ for all i . In the case $q = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \exp\left(t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n}\right) = (1-x)^{-t} \\ &= \sum_{n,k=0}^{\infty} c(n, k) t^k \frac{x^n}{n!} \end{aligned}$$

where $c(n, k) = |s(n, k)|$ is the unsigned Stirling number of the first kind.

For general q , we have $T(x) = t(1-x)^{-1}$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 - (1-q)q^k x t (1-q^{k+1}x)^{-1}]^{-1} \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{k+1}x}{1 - [q + (1-q)t]q^k x} \\ &= \frac{(qx)_{\infty}}{([q + (1-q)t]x)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

We can expand this product with the q -binomial theorem [1, p. 17]:

$$\frac{(ax)_{\infty}}{(x)_{\infty}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \frac{x^n}{(q)_n},$$

which with βx for x and $\alpha\beta^{-1}$ for a , gives

$$\frac{(\alpha x)_\infty}{(\beta x)_\infty} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (\beta - \alpha q^i) \right] \frac{x^n}{(q)_n},$$

where as usual the empty product is one. Then (6.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} [(1-q)t + q - q^{i+1}] \frac{x^n}{(q)_n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} [t + q(1 + q + \cdots + q^{i+1})] \frac{x^n}{n!_q} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t(t + q \cdot 1_q)(t + q \cdot 2_q) \cdots (t + q(n-1)_q) \frac{x^n}{n!_q}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

It should be noted that a direct combinatorial proof of (6.2) is not difficult. It follows from (6.2) that the coefficients of $g(x)$ are essentially the same q -Stirling numbers as those studied by Gould [7].

With the help of the formula [1, p. 36]

$$\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (\alpha + \beta q^i) = \sum_{j=0}^n q^{(j)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \alpha^{n-j} \beta^j,$$

one can obtain the explicit formula

$$c_q(n, k) = \left(\frac{q}{1-q} \right)^{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \binom{n-j}{k} q^{(j)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6.3)$$

(See Gould [7].)

It is remarkable that there seems to be no formula for the ($q=1$) Stirling numbers of the first kind as simple as (6.3).

As a generalization, we may count permutations in which every basic component has length divisible by some positive integer r , according to the number of basic components. (The last example is the case $r=1$.) Here we have $T(x) = tx^{r-1}/(1-x^r)$ and a straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \frac{(q^r x^r; q^r)_\infty}{([q + (1-q)t]q^{r-1}x^r; q^r)_\infty} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{(r-1)n} \frac{(nr)!_q}{r_q(2r)_q \cdots (nr)_q} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} [t + q(ni)_q] \frac{x^{nr}}{(nr)!_q}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, let us consider the case where all basic components have length one or two. Then we may set $t_1 = t$, $t_2 = 1$, and $t_i = 0$ for $i > 2$. (Letting t_2 be an indeterminate would give us no additional information.) Then $T(x) = t + x$ and we have

$$g(x) = e \left[tx + q \frac{x^2}{2!_q} \right].$$

Proposition 3.4 gives the recurrence

$$g_{n+1} = tg_n + qn_q g_{n-1}$$

from which the first few values of g_n are easily computed:

$$g_0 = 1,$$

$$g_1 = t,$$

$$g_2 = t^2 + q,$$

$$g_3 = t^3 + (2q + q^2)t,$$

$$g_4 = t^4 + (3q + 2q^2 + q^3)t^2 + q^2 + q^3 + q^4.$$

The infinite product for $g(x)$ is

$$g(x) = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 - (1-q)q^k x(t + q^{k+1}x)]^{-1}. \quad (6.4)$$

To find a formula for the coefficients of $g(x)$ we introduce the 'continuous q -Hermite polynomials' $H_n(u | q)$ defined by

$$\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - 2uzq^k + z^2q^{2k})^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n(u | q) \frac{z^n}{(q)_n} \quad (6.5)$$

These polynomials have been studied by Askey and Ismail [2] and others. We find a formula for their coefficients by setting $u = \cos \theta$, $\alpha = e^{i\theta}$, and $\beta = e^{-i\theta}$. Then $1 - 2uzq^k + z^2q^{2k} = (1 - \alpha zq^k)(1 - \beta zq^k)$ so

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - 2uzq^k + z^2q^{2k})^{-1} &= (\alpha z)_{\infty}^{-1} (\beta z)_{\infty}^{-1} \\ &= \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^n \frac{z^n}{(q)_n} \right] \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^n \frac{z^n}{(q)_n} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Equating coefficients of $z^n/(q)_n$ and using the well-known formula

$$\cos r\theta = \sum_{m=0}^{[r/2]} (-1)^m 2^{r-2m-1} \frac{r}{r-m} \binom{r-m}{m} \cos^{r-2m} \theta$$

for $r > 0$, we obtain

$$H_n(u | q) = \sum_{j=0}^{[(n-1)/2]} (2u)^{n-2j} \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^{j-k} \frac{n-2k}{n-k-j} \binom{n-k-j}{n-2j} \binom{n}{k} + E_n \quad (6.6)$$

where

$$E_n = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{\frac{1}{2}n}, & n \text{ even} \\ 0, & n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

It will be convenient to consider the polynomials $\bar{H}_n(u | q) = i^n H_n(-iu | q)$, where

$i = \sqrt{-1}$. Then (6.5) and (6.6) lead to

$$\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - 2uzq^k - z^2q^{2k})^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{H}_n(u|q) \frac{z^n}{(q)_n} \quad (6.7)$$

and

$$\bar{H}_n(u|q) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} (2u)^{n-2j} \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^k \frac{n-2k}{n-k-j} \binom{n-k-j}{n-2j} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right] + E_n. \quad (6.8)$$

Now in (6.4), set $z^2 = (1-q)qx^2$, so $x = [(1-q)q]^{-1/2}z$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1-q}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} t | q \frac{z^n}{(q)_n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [q/(1-q)]^{n/2} \bar{H}_n \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1-q}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} t | q \right) \frac{x^n}{n!_q}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g_n &= [q/(1-q)]^{n/2} \bar{H}_n \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1-q}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} t | q \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} t^{n-2j} \left(\frac{q}{1-q} \right)^j \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^k \frac{n-2k}{n-k-j} \binom{n-k-j}{n-2j} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right] \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{q}{1-q} \right)^{n/2} E_n. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

Then if $g_n = \sum_j b_{n,j} t^{n-2j}$ we have

$$b_{n,0} = 1,$$

$$b_{n,1} = \frac{q}{1-q} (n - n_q) = (n-1)q + (n-2)q^2 + \cdots + q^{n-1},$$

$$b_{n,2} = \left(\frac{q}{1-q} \right)^2 \left\{ \frac{n(n-3)}{2} - (n-2)n_q + \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right] \right\},$$

and so on.

7. Inversions and cycle structure

It is well known that the number of permutations in S_n with k left-right maxima is the same as the number of permutations in S_n with k cycles. (The number is the unsigned Stirling number of the first kind $c(n, k)$.) Foata [4] has constructed a bijection $\Psi: S_n \rightarrow S_n$ which takes a permutation with α_i basic components of length i (for each i) to one with α_i cycles of length i : to get the cycle representation of $\Psi(\pi)$, we simply enclose each basic component of π in a pair of parentheses. Thus for $\pi = 1423756$, we have $\Psi(\pi) = (1)(423)(756)$ in cycle notation, which in linear notation is 1342675 . To find $\Psi^{-1}(\pi)$, we write π in cycle notation, with the greatest element of each cycle first, and with the cycles arranged in increasing order of first element. Then we remove the parentheses.

Unfortunately, Ψ does not preserve inversions, and the problem of counting permutations by inversions and cycle structure remains open. However, if π has only basic components of lengths one and two, so that $\Psi(\pi)$ is an involution, then Ψ transforms the inversion number in a very simple way:

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose π has b_i basic components of length i for each i , where $b_i = 0$ for $i > 2$. Then $I(\Psi(\pi)) = 2I(\pi) - b_2$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length of π . The theorem is trivially true for lengths zero and one. Now let π be of length $n \geq 2$ and assume the truth of the theorem for all shorter lengths. Let π' be obtained from π by removing the last basic component, and let b'_2 be the number of basic components of π' of length two. If the last basic component of π has length one, then $\Psi(\pi)$ is $\Psi(\pi')$ with n adjoined at the end, so $I(\pi) = I(\pi')$, $I(\Psi(\pi)) = I(\Psi(\pi'))$, and $b_2 = b'_2$. Thus $I(\Psi(\pi)) - 2I(\pi) + b_2 = I(\Psi(\pi')) - 2I(\pi') + b'_2 = 0$.

To deal with the case in which the last basic component of π has length two, we first observe that Foata's correspondence Ψ can be extended in the obvious way to permutations that are not reduced: $\Psi(\sigma)$ is defined by $\text{con}(\Psi(\sigma)) = \text{con}(\sigma)$ and $\text{red}(\Psi(\sigma)) = \Psi(\text{red}(\sigma))$.

If the last basic component of π has length two, then it must be nk for some k . Then $I(\pi) = I(\pi') + n - k$. If $\Psi(\pi') = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-2}$, then $\Psi(\pi)$ is obtained from it by inserting n between a_{k-1} and a_k (or at the beginning, if $k = 1$), and inserting k at the end. It is then easily seen that $I(\Psi(\pi)) = I(\Psi(\pi')) + 2n - 2k - 1$. Since $b_2 = b'_2 + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(\Psi(\pi)) - 2I(\pi) + b_2 &= I(\Psi(\pi')) + 2n - 2k - 1 - 2[I(\pi') + n - k] + b'_2 + 1 \\ &= I(\Psi(\pi')) + 2I(\pi') + b'_2 = 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

It follows that if $g_n = g_n(t | q)$ is given by (6.9), then the number of involutions of n with r fixed points and I inversions is the coefficient of $t^r q^I$ in $q^{-n/2} g_n(tq^{1/2} | q^2)$.

References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, The Theory of Partitions, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2 (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1976).
- [2] R. Askey and M.E.-H. Ismail, A generalization of ultraspherical polynomials, to appear in Studies in Analysis, A collection of articles dedicated to the memory of Paul Turan, Budapest.
- [3] E.A. Bender and J.R. Goldman, Enumerative uses of generating functions, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 20 (1971) 753-765.
- [4] D. Foata, La série génératrice exponentielle dans les problèmes d'énumération (Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, 1974).
- [5] I.M. Gessel, Generating functions and enumeration of sequences, Ph.D. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1977.
- [6] J. Goldman and G.-C. Rota, On the foundations of combinatorial theory IV: Finite vector spaces and Eulerian generating functions, Studies in Appl. Math. 49 (1970) 239-258.

- [7] H.W. Gould, q -Stirling numbers, *Duke Math. J.* 28 (1961) 281–289.
- [3] O. Rodrigues, Note sur les inversions, ou derangements produit dans les permutations, *J. Mathematiques* 4 (1839) 236–240.
- [9] R.P. Stanley, Binomial posets, Möbius inversion, and permutation enumeration, *J. Combin. Theory (A)* 20 (1976) 336–356.
- [10] R.P. Stanley, Generating functions, in: G.-C. Rota, ed., *MAA Studies in Combinatorics* (Math. Assoc. of America, 1978) 100–141.
- [11] R.P. Stanley, Exponential structures, *Studies in Appl. Math.* 59 (1978) 73–82.